## Reasons given for supporting proposal

•	Proposal would help to secure the continuity of provision in the two villages
•	Would provide continuity of education at a Church of England School up to Y6
•	Provide sustainability of educational services within small village environment
•	Enable a pooling of resources
•	Removes the potentially disruptive change at the age of 7
•	Benefits of a small village school
•	The retention of high standards through to age 11
•	Accept the benefits put forward by the diocese, governors, headteacher and staff
	of the proposal
•	Proposal will bring benefits to many people
•	Children flourish in small classes
•	Benefits of the high standard of teaching at the infant schools being extended beyond the infant stage
•	Benefits of a friendly village school environment
•	Concern that if the proposal does not go ahead one of the schools will close
•	Proposal would make the schools more viable and attractive in the long run
•	Disruption of moving to Haddenham at Y4
•	Involvement with and of the local church in the school
•	Retention of current outstanding staff
•	Consistency if there is just one school through from starting school to moving onto
	secondary school
•	Merging the two school would mean ending up with one strong school
•	Shortage of Headteachers would make it difficult to recruit a replacement for the current head
•	Need to concentrate on what is best for Dinton community
•	Shortage of church of England junior school places in the area
•	Crucial to maintain the two village schools
•	Greater opportunity to attract and retain staff at a bigger combined school
•	The proposal would be of great benefit to both communities
•	The proposal offers parents a diversity of educational provision
•	Plans for large scale housing development in Haddenham Junior School's area: in
	the long-term there could be a shortage of school places in the area
•	Young families will stay in the area if there is continuity of education
•	Preference for education at a Church of England school
A total of	71 or 59% of those responding were in favour of proposal